

Phone: (978) 35two-5713

Fax: (978) 35two-57two5

Planning Board 1 Library Street Georgetown, MA 01833

36

1	MEETING MINUTES - FINAL
2	GEORGETOWN PLANNING BOARD
3	Wednesday, November 14 th , 2012
4	Memorial Town Hall – 3 rd Floor
5	7:00 p.m.
6	•
7	Present: Mr. LaCortiglia, Mr. Christopher Rich; Ms. Tillie Evangelista; Mr. Bob Watts
8	Mr. Tim Howard (Arrived at 7:28 pm); Mr. Howard Snyder, Town Planner, Wendy
9	Beaumont, Administrative Assistant
10	
11	Attending: Mr. Ponton, Mr. Abella
12	
13	Meeting Opens at 7:15 pm.
14	
15	Approval of Minutes:
16	1. Minutes of October 24 th , 2012.
17	Mr. Rich - Motion to accept the minutes of October 24, 2012 subject to any changes
18	made by the board.
19	Mr. Watts - Second.
20	Motion Carries: 4-0; Unam.
21	
22	Ms. Evangelista - The only change I see is that on Page 3, Line 110 that Cedar Lane
23	refers to Andover Street.
24	
25	Correspondence:
26	1. Vouchers:
27	Mr. Rich - Motion to approve the two vouchers in the amount of \$147.64.
28	Mr. Watts - Second.
29	Motion Carries: 4–0; Unam.
30	
31	
32	{Discussion about the purchase of letter head envelopes and self-sealing bags for
33	drawings.}
34	
35	Public Hearing:

1 of 16

1. Bank of America at 38 East Main Street:



37	Mr. Snyder - Included in your packet is correspondence from the highway surveyor
38	and it states that they are town trees and that the Town will maintain them.
39	·
40	Mr. LaCortiglia - So noted. This is a site plan approval continuation.
41	
42	Mr. LaCortiglia - Motion to close for public comments.
43	Mr. Watts - Second.
44	Motion Carries: 5-0; Unam.
45	
46	Mr. Snyder - Please note the last two pages in your supplement packet are letters from
47	Mr. Graham and Board of Health that were requested at the last meeting.
48	
49	Mr. LaCortiglia – Mr. Graham has looked at the draft decision and he likes it?
50	
51	Mr. Snyder - That is correct. One comment is that the letter is not written into the
52	decision but it can be made in the motion as it needs to be recorded.
53	400152011 0 40 10 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
54	Mr. Rich - Motion to close the public hearing and to approve the decision of the six
55	pages with conditions as amended by the board this evening.
56	Ms. Evangelista - Second.
57	1730 Z vangensar Second
58	Ms. Evangelista - Who is going to be inspecting the project as it goes along?
59	1715. Evangenous Wino is going to be inspecting the project as it goes along.
60	Mr. LaCortiglia - Mr. Graham.
61	ivii. Bacoriigha ivii. Graham.
62	Mr. Ponton - We added to the drawing that Mr. Graham will be contacted for site
63	inspections.
64	inspections.
65	{Discussion of inspection at certain benchmarks.}
66	(Discussion of hispection at certain benefitharks.)
67	Mr. Rich - Motion to close the public hearing.
68	Mr. Watts - Second.
69	Motion Carries: 5-0; Unam.
70	Wildion Carries. 3-0, Chain.
71	{Discussion held to amend page three of six regarding paper and mylar submissions.
72	Clarification made to the site inspections and adding the words "any time he (site
73	inspector) or the Planning Board deems appropriate" for inspections to the site.
/ 1	TOSTICATION FOR THE FIRMHING DOMESTIC DEEDEN ADDITIONALE. TOT HISDECTIONS TO THE SHE 3



74	
75	Mr. Rich - Motion to approve the decision for site plan approval with all the amended
76	decisions made by the board this evening.
77	Mr. Howard - Second.
78	Motion Carries: 5-0; Unam.
79	
80	Old Business:
81	1. Harmony Lane: Easements.
82	Mr. Snyder - In your planning packet you received comments from the applicants legal
83	counsel. Those comments have been edited with track changes. I spoke with the town
84	light department they are amendable to accepting the easements. Please view the screen.
85	I have also spoken with the highway surveyor and he will accept the landscaping, site line
86	easement. Town council will make one change to reflect that and then these need to be
87	accepted by the voters of Georgetown as easements. And what we can proceed with if
88	desired by the board is to work with them for a town accepted street.
89	
90	Ms. Evangelista - I thought he wanted it to be private?
91	
92	{Discussion regarding whether or not the applicant wanted it to be a private or a town
93	road.}
94	
95	Mr. LaCortiglia - Mr. Snyder, can you look into this?
96	
97	Mr. Snyder - Yes.
98	
99	Mr. Rich - I also want to make sure they are not putting in other plantings. The town
100	should not have to maintain their shrubs.
101	
102	Mr. Snyder - It's going to be written so that the town does not accept the proposed 10
103	foot wide landscape easement that runs up Harmony Lane.
104	
105	{Discussion held in regards to areas that the town is/is not responsible for maintaining
106	landscaping.}
107	
108	Mr. LaCortiglia - According to Mr. Varga these three bounds on Harmony Lane are not
109	in yet. They were not waived in the decision. All other bounds were waived except
110	these. Mr. Snyder can you check with Mr. Varga to see they were installed?



111		
112		Mr. Snyder - Yes I will.
113		
114	2.	Stone Row Lot 14: Boundary Markers
115		Mr. Snyder - Please see the graphic provided by Mr. Varga that is in your supplement
116		packet. {Places image on overhead screen}.
117		
118		{Discussion of the lot lines and boundaries on the graphic that was projected on the
119		screen.}
120		
121		Mr. LaCortiglia - These are the ones that are not set. The ones I consider important are
122		these boundaries as they separate the lot lines. We are now being asked to waive the
123		other five bounds.
124		
125		Mr. Ruh- I disagree with your assessment. All bounds were waived at the original
126		certificate of vote. We agreed in the site visit and the board voted at the 10-28-09
127		minutes that the streets monuments be located as follows. And the lot lines as Mr. Varga
128		wanted. So, non-street ones were waived in the original certificate of vote. I have a copy
129		if you would like it.
130		
131		Mr. Snyder - That (the decision) was handed out at the last meeting.
132		
133		Mr. Ruh- The lots lines are marked except for the two shown on the plan.
134		
135		Mr. LaCortiglia - We need a copy of the decision and will move this out to next meeting
136		as it is taking too much time.
137		
138		Mr. Rich - Can you give me the section again?
139		
140		Mr. Ruh- Section F in the certificate of vote. It waives 3 different bounds. Mr. Varga
141		wanted the six bounds put in and you approved that which was approved on the 10-28-09
142		board meeting. The question is the 3 circled ones – do you want bounds there or not?
143		Lot line question is that one has an iron pipe that is within inches of the underground
144		electrical services right where the bound should be. The other one is the same situation
145		although there is no iron pipe but the electrical service is there.
146		
147		Mr. LaCortiglia - Did the plans show it that way?



148		
149		Mr. Howard - Plans don't show the utilities.
150		
151		Mr. Ruh - Plans do not show bounds because they were all waived.
152		
153		Mr. LaCortiglia - I disagree. I want to look at the first page of the decision but we need a
154		little more time.
155		
156		Mr. LaCortiglia - At the next meeting we will have all the information.
157		
158		Mr. Snyder - I will bring the minutes of 10-28-09 to the next meeting.
159		
160		Ms. Evangelista - It is the plan that we signed that we need.
161		
162		Mr. LaCortiglia - To be continued on the November 28 th meeting.
163		
164	2.	Park and Recreation: Athletic Facilities at 161 East Main Street: Continued.
165		Mr. Snyder - The office received an email from the town Building Inspector,
166		outlining how the Park and Rec is in a flood zone and a special permit is required
167		from the Zoning Board.
168		
169		Mr. LaCortiglia - Mr. DiMento and Mr. Mammolette are attending this meeting. For
170		reference, I did watch the last meeting as I was not in attendance that evening. I
171		believe that you did not have enough time for your presentation that evening.
172		
173		Mr. Rich - Motion to continue the presentation.
174		Mr. Howard - Second.
175		
176		Mr. Mammolette - Construction for East Main Street athletic facility in Phases One
177		and Phase Two with improvements to the access road as stated previously. Second
178		phase is extending the road, dog park, baseball field and turn around area. The project
179		proposes sidewalks to separate the foot and vehicle traffic. No indication at this point
180		to get funding for pavement at this point so road will be gravel – no catch basins and
181		storm drains. Project at a whole is the equivalent of four single family house lots
182		which does not require storm water management.
183		
184		Mr. LaCortiglia - Thank you. Have we all looked at the plans?



185	
186	Ms. Evangelista - There was a lot of measuring that was not identified on the plans.
187	How is Mr. Varga going to look at it as there are no details on the drawings in regards
188	to the elevations? The numbers did not appear to jive with the road.
189	
190	Mr. Mammolette - If there is something that is unclear we can certainly address that
191	and make it clear.
192	
193	Mr. LaCortiglia - I would like to look at this by certain pages before it goes to Mr.
194	Graham – is that good?
195	
196	Ms. Evangelista - No storm drains? Is that correct Mr. Snyder, that they are not
197	required?
198	
199	Mr. Snyder - I am not familiar with that and believe it was more of a comparison. I
200	believe everything can be handled with bio engineering.
201	
202	{Discussion of catch basins, curves, storm water and elevations}
203	
204	Ms. Evangelista - With this elevation I believe you will have water puddles.
205	
206	Mr. Mammolette - It is a sliver of land that enters in bodies of water. We will grade
207	the land so that this happens allowing enough buffer and set-back which is required
208	by Conservation.
209	
210	Ms. Evangelista - That is why I think it is incomplete.
211	
212	Mr. LaCortiglia - Do you have a road profile?
213	
214	Mr. Mammolette - I have it but did not include it in the set. This is what we are
215	hoping to do after we do some clearing.
216	
217	Mr. LaCortiglia - Please show us on the plan. I seem to be hearing questions that
218	seems that people on the board are not familiar with the land.
219	
220	Ms. Evangelista - I am very familiar with this property.
221	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·



222	Mr. watts - I am not familiar with the property.
223	
224	Mr. LaCortiglia - Maybe we should do a site walk?
225	
226	Mr. Snyder - For Mr. Mammolette to accurately show the grades and elevations, he
227	needs to know the existing elevations and it is extremely difficult as it is wooded
228	land.
229	
230	Mr. Rich - I disagree.
231	
232	{Discussion regarding how elevation of land is shown on plans and the most cost
233	effective solution.}
234	
235	Mr. Rich - I want this project to be done by the rules and regulations – they don't
236	know their elevation.
237	
238	Mr. Mammolette - It is difficult to evaluate elevations without clearing the land.
239	Using GPS is not always effective either – went through all areas. The elevations are
240	on the drawings with the existing and proposed contours.
241	
242	Ms. Evangelista - I see that but not on the road.
243	
244	Mr. Mammolette - We would be happy to try to do that. In terms of clearing and
245	stumping it means we have to bring in fill. Elevations that are critical are being
246	addressed. We did borings as well through the road and upland areas. I believe we
247	had adequate separations.
248	
249	Mr. LaCortiglia - Does the board want to go to the site walk?
250	
251	{Discussion held in regards to a date for a site walk – November 24 th at 7:30AM}
252	
253	Ms. Evangelista - In other words, this is not ready for Mr. Graham?
254	
255	Mr. LaCortiglia - I agree, we need more details.
256	
257	Mr. Rich - Going through the plans – anything we can get you to put on the plan will
258	keep the cost down and moves the project forward.



259	
260	{Discussion held in regards to the radius and the county layout of the road.}
261	
262	Ms. Evangelista - How were these measurements determined – from the state?
263	
264	Mr. Mammolette - Yes.
265	
266	{Discussion in regards to areas/roads that county and state owns.}
267	
268	Ms. Evangelista - The painted sidewalk, is that state property?
269	
270	Mr. Mammolette - Yes, state property.
271	
272	Ms. Evangelista - Has this been discussed with Mr. Durkee (highway surveyor)?
273	
274	Mr. Mammolette - Yes, we want something that is not on the state right-of way. We
275	would like to have someone have some oversight for this project in regards to this.
276	
277	Ms. Evangelista - So you have not spoken with the state – Mr. Durkee told me that
278	the state owns 50 feet of the highway.
279	
280	Mr. Mammolette - State plans are online at their website.
281	
282	Ms. Evangelista - I might suggest you talk with Mr. Durkee- I have an issue with
283	getting in and out of this road.
284	
285	Mr. Mammolette - I think the issue is the radius at the beginning of the road - maybe
286	we could clip the corner.
287	
288	Mr. Rich - You need state approval for an increase to the curb cut.
289	
290	Mr. DiMento - If the trees are cut down, this improves the site line and will help with
291	the traffic situation.
292	
293	Ms. Wade (member of Park and Rec) - This is a driveway to a parking lot that we
294	have made good improvements to it.
295	



296	Mr. Mammolette - Roughly 30 feet to work with - only way to get additional footage
297	is to clear trees to the edge.
298	
299	Mr. LaCortiglia - Two travel corridors on the road – 20 feet wide.
300	
301	Ms. Evangelista - Adding a sidewalk. Are you adding dirt as well?
302	
303	Mr. Mammolette - We are proposing to cut some of the grade down on the road –
304	retaining wall as well.
305	
306	Ms. Evangelista - Is there signage? Did you ever think of flattening the road?
307	
308	Mr. Mammolette - Yes, here is a Stop Sign. Can't be done that way - sidewalk is
309	important for all activities.
310	
311	Mr. DiMento - Handed out photos - we could take 3 feet off the slope to improve site
312	line.
313	
314	Ms. Evangelista - Subdivisions need to be 24 feet wide – it seems to me that that is
315	too small.
316	
317	Mr. Mammolette - The previous Planner stated that this could not be turned from a
318	conforming lot to a non-conforming lot.
319	
320	{Discussion held in regards to the frontage size and the previous Town Planner who
321	was involved in the decisions on the project.}
322	
323	Mr. LaCortiglia - Two other designations – stop signs and speed limit signs.
324	
325	Mr. Rich - Who decides the speed limit?
326	
327	Mr. Mammolette - Myself and a colleague thought that that is appropriate.
328	
329	Mr. Rich - I think that 15 MPH is better.
330	
331	Ms. Evangelista - Maybe ask Mr. Graham his opinion?
332	



333	Mr. LaCortiglia - Cannot be overly cautious.
334	
335	{Discussion about an appropriate speed limit for the entrance.}
336	
337	Mr. Watts - There is no separation between the roadway and sidewalk.
338	
339	Mr. Mammolette - Yes, at this point we are not talking about curbing – it will be
340	raised.
341	
342	Mr. LaCortiglia - How much reveal?
343	
344	Mr. Mammolette - Sidewalk will be six inches higher than the roadway but it is not
345	actual curbing. A granite curbing could always be added in the future. We are trying
346	to build it right but without a big budget.
347	
348	Mr. Watts - My concern is that there is no wiggle room for this section of the road.
349	
350	Mr. Mammolette - We are widening this driveway so there won't be this issue.
351	
352	{Discussion about the width of the roadway and whether it is a safe situation.}
353	
354	Mr. Rich - Exhibit A – picture presented by Mr. DiMento is submitted.
355	
356	Mr. Schauer (Park and Rec) – This entrance is better than the one on West Street.
357	This whole way will be improved. It will be managed much better.
358	
359	{Discussion on regards to the car counts on this street versus other streets.}
360	
361	Mr. DiMento - We do need to make some long term improvements – move a
362	telephone pole.
363	
364	Mr. LaCortiglia - Looks to me that the vehicles will be coming up and I see no
365	crosswalk? Should there be a crosswalk added at the entrance?
366	
367	Mr. Mammolette - I think that is a detail that I have not worked out yet and will do
368	so. Some ways are expensive – pour concrete and some transition.
369	



370 371	Mr. LaCortiglia - Please add what you think is right and add the signage to the plan.
371	Mr. Mammolette - We will evaluate the location of the signage.
373	ivin. Ividininosette vve will evaluate the location of the signage.
374	Ms. Evangelista - Is the hydrant on the plan?
375	
376	Mr. Mammolette - Yes, we are proposing one.
377	
378	Mr. Rich - Inexpensive way for a crosswalk can be just signage I believe. Need to
379	maintain ADA accessibility.
380	
381	Mr. Mammolette - Yes that needs to be addressed.
382	
383	{Discussion regarding what type of crosswalk would be appropriate and cost
384	effective.}
385	
386	Mr. LaCortiglia - Next page: Layout of the parking lot and Skate Park and island.
387	Where is the signage? Where is the left hand turn into the parking lot?
388	
389	Mr. Mammolette shows where the area is.
390	
391	Mr. LaCortiglia - It looks like a teardrop is an exit not the entrance.
392	
393	Mr. LaCortiglia - Does the board want to see one or two way traffic at this point?
394	
395	{Discussion regarding the traffic flow for the area and where the road is two-way and
396	whether or not certain areas should be one way for safety and for turnarounds.
397	Possible radius changes. Whether there is room for emergency vehicles to turn
398	around}
399	Mr. Manusclatta I don't think a quart number of morals will be coming for the alveta
400	Mr. Mammolette - I don't think a great number of people will be coming for the skate
401 402	park, I think it will be for the ball field. A drop off area I think would be a nightmare.
402	Ms. Evangelista - I think you should have a drop off area.
403 404	1vis. Evangensia - i unik you should have a drop on area.
404	{Discussion of vehicles using the parking lot and how traffic would flow and where
406	to possibly have a drop off area.}
1 00	to possion have a drop on area.



407	
408	Mr. LaCortiglia - Will the radius be opened up?
409	
410	Mr. Mammolette - Yes.
411	
412	Mr. Rich - There is going to be conflict.
413	
414	Mr. Mammolette - I agree we could change it – reverse the entrance and exit.
415	
416	{Discussion of traffic flow for entrance and exit and the possibility of reversing
417	them.}
418	
419	Mr. Rich - Is the property 8 acres?
420	
421	Mr. Mammolette – It is an impervious area which is about 4 house lots. We will be
422	adding a bit more paving. Skate board park that is concrete and some ramps. There
423	may be a smaller skate park as well that is impervious. We may have something a bi
424	smaller with rain gardens in the middle.
425	
426	Mr. Watts - With a gravel parking lot?
427	
428	Mr. Mammolette - Yes, with pre-cast bumpers.
429	
430	Mr. LaCortiglia - Cost effective to use guardrails?
431	
432	Mr. Mammolette - Trying to permit this with the money we have right now.
433	
434	Mr. Watts - If the area is not marked then people might not park in an appropriate
435	way.
436	
437	Mr. DiMento - Would like to have the option of using pre-cast concrete.
438	
439	Ms. Evangelista - Would Mr. Durkee like that?
440	
441	Mr. LaCortiglia – Please make a note of that on the plan.
442	
443	Mr. Rich - The outside perimeter of the skate park – what is the back?



444	
445	{Discussion held in regards to the size of the skate park, water shed and rain garden.}
446	
447	Mr. Rich - I think that emergency vehicles will be able to drive in over the sidewalk.
448	
449	{Discussion regarding the skate park and assess ability of emergency vehicles.}
450	
451	Ms. Evangelista - Can we continue this at another time?
452	
453	Mr. LaCortiglia - One more thing – why is the sidewalk on the right hand side and not
454	the left? Could the crosswalk be on the other side? It would be safer.
455	
456	Mr. Rich - I agree.
457	
458	Mr. Mammolette - You would like the side walk on the other side?
459	
460	Mr. LaCortiglia - Yes.
461	
462	Mr. Mammolette - I think we can accomplish moving the sidewalk.
463	
464	Mr. DiMento - One neighbor requested that on the parking lot side that we add trees
465	for a noise buffer.
466	
467	Ms. Evangelista - Motion to continue Special Permit hearing to November 28, 2012.
468	Mr. Rich - Second.
469	Motion Carries: 5-0; Unam.
470	
471	
472	New Business:
473	1. Bailey Lane: Informal discussion
474	
475	Attending: Mr. Barlett and Mr. Mannetta
476	
477	Mr. Snyder - They requested an informal meeting with the Planning Board to discuss
478	Bailey Lane.
479	



480 481	Mr. Mannetta - We were here over a year ago and discussed this project. As shown on the screen this is the three lots.
482	on the serious this is the times rotal
483	Mr. LaCortiglia - This would be an OSRD plan correct?
484	
485	Mr. Mannetta - Yes. The reason we are here is that we now have roadway concerns
486	about curb cuts and stone walls. Want to upgrade the road width to 18 feet wide,
487	utilizing the existing curb cuts. This roadway is now on the official town map.
488	
489	Mr. Barlett - Unless you want to keep the trees and keep the road width as is.
490	
491	Mr. LaCortiglia - This is a good sized lot over. You would like the board to look at
492	this to see if this is a good OSRD plan?
493	
494	Mr. Mannetta - Question is the width of pavement on Bailey Lane. We located all of
495	the trees and phone poles. Yes, we would like feedback - is this an appropriate
496	OSRD plan?
497	
498	Ms. Evangelista - Is the town going to accept the road?
499	
500	Mr. Mannetta - The town has accepted it as a private way. The town maintains the
501	road – we have talked to Mr. Durkee and have provided a turn around with the
502	appropriate radius.
503	
504	Ms. Evangelista - Are you going to pave it and with drainage?
505	
506	Mr. Mannetta - No drainage in there now.
507	
508	Mr. LaCortiglia - What do you expect form the board?
509	
510	Mr. Mannetta - Just to let you know we are back on the board working on this project.
511	
512	Ms. Evangelista - I think we should go for a town approved road.
513	
514	Mr. Mannetta - We are deeding this area to the town as well as a lot.
515	

Ms. Evangelista - Will you consider getting it to be an accepted road?

516



517	
518	Mr. Snyder - As I understand it, in order for that roadway to be re-built to town
519	standards you would need to remove trees that the board previously stated they want
520	protected as well as stonewalls.
521	
522	Mr. LaCortiglia - What I would suggest is that it looks good to me – sounds like Ms.
523	Evangelista has an issue with the road.
524	
525	Ms. Evangelista - I do have an issue with the road. Begin by taking the whole lot and
526	identifying the resource areas.
527	
528	Mr. Mannetta - There is no wetlands out there.
529	
530	Mr. LaCortiglia - Good you have your resource areas all drawn out.
531	
532	Mr. Barlett - Someone had mentioned saving the trees which are owned by adjoining
533	property owners.
534	
535	Ms. Evangelista - I would like the road to be accepted, a drain put in and also get it
536	cleaned up.
537	
538	Mr. Rich - I have a problem if this is going to be an accepted street at 18 feet wide.
539	
540	Mr. LaCortiglia - I do not like informal sessions – they serve no real purpose.
541	
542	{Discussion on the road width and whether they turn a fire truck around in this area.}
543	
544	Mr. Howard - I would like to see a descent roadway.
545	
546	Mr. Mannetta - This drawing is from your previous planner - we are trying to find out
547	if we are going in the right direction. We are trying to balance everything.
548	
549	Mr. Barlett - We are giving up a lot in comparison to a regular subdivision.
550	
551	Mr. LaCortiglia - This is a lot looking at it for site work and clearing.
552	
553	Mr. Mannetta - Proposing four house lots.



554	
555	Mr. Barlett - We can't afford a full blown town road.
556	
557	Mr. LaCortiglia - Hope we were able to give you some kind of guidance.
558	
559	Mr. Rich - Motion to adjoin
560	Mr. Watts - Second
561	Motion Carries: 5 –0; Unam.
562	
563	Meeting adjourned at 9:58 p.m.